

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,010	SOMOGYI, ALEXANDER J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Satish S. Rampuria	2191	

All Participants:

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(2) Thomas Plunkett (57,253).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

8,9,21,22

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed with Mr. Plunkett, the attorney of the record to replace terminology with Trademarks in the claim. For details please see the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Satish S. Rampuria
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)